


# 2019 CHNA Community Health Needs Assessment Regional Report-Out & Consensus Workshop

## Evaluation Responses


The 2019 Regional Report-Out and Consensus Workshop was on Thursday, September 12 to prioritize and discuss the health needs in North Central Washington. To better understand how the Workshop went, attendees were asked to fill-out an evaluation. A total of 22 attendees filled out the evaluation.

### Q1 - Duration of the Workshop was




Answer Choice	Response
Too short	10% 2
Just right	80% 16
Too long	10% 2
<b>Total</b>	<b>20</b>

### Q2 - Table discussion helped with my voting




Answer Choice	Response
Strongly agree	36% 8
Agree	45% 10
Neutral	9% 2
Disagree	9% 2
Strongly disagree	0% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>

### Q3 - Table and room discussion on the prioritized needs was informative




Answer Choice	Response	Count
Strongly agree	55%	12
Agree	36%	8
Neutral	9%	2
Disagree	0%	0
Strongly disagree	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>

### Q4 - It is important for this Workshop to be in-person




Answer Choice	Response	Count
Strongly agree	68%	15
Agree	32%	7
Neutral	0%	0
Disagree	0%	0
Strongly disagree	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>

## Q5 - Chelan was a good location for the Workshop



Answer Choice	Response	Count
Strongly agree	41%	9
Agree	55%	12
Neutral	5%	1
Disagree	0%	0
Strongly disagree	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>

## Q6 - Overall, the Workshop was a good use of my time



Answer Choice	Response	Count
Strongly agree	55%	12
Agree	36%	8
Neutral	9%	2
Disagree	0%	0
Strongly disagree	0%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>22</b>

## Comments:

Answered: 7

Skipped: 15

Would love to hear what action plans will be initiated in response to this.

Offer a little more time with group/table breakout sessions. I feel like we could have fleshed out more if we had a little more time

Great workshop; thank you!

Very well organized and presented.

Q1 – too short for meaningful discussion; too long for this format

Q1 – some discussion too short; I was thinking this CHNA wasn't just as a result of hospital requirements

Great information; however – not current! Disappointed data was old would have liked to see where we start now – 2018/2019

## Q7 - What went well?

Answered: 19

Skipped: 3

Great to hear from others/different view points

Reports of needs was good

Group discussion

Everything

I appreciated hearing other perspectives around the table.

Diverse partners/conservations

Highly organized, great discussion at tables

SWOT analysis, diverse option base, length and time allocation for each activity

People from across the region their experience and interest

Collaboration

Good discussion - I liked how you had us sitting at tables with people not from our organization.

We stayed on schedule, good information presented and explained

Open discussion

Well organized

Group discussion was great - respectful group; food yummy

great group of people

knowing we all need to work together

Table discussion were good. It was good to see across counties what the issues and percentages are

Good conversation that stemed good ideas and food for thought

## Q8 - What did not go well?

Answered: 12

Skipped: 10

Not enough time to talk about topics

Seemed to drag at the end - annoying to some conclusion did not seem to happen

Short on time

Finding the building cause address didn't match.

Can't think of anything

Wish we could have longer discussion or needs + impact but we could go forever

If this is a discussion for hospital use, the question of how hospital can best leverage their resources is critical. They have a unique position and should choose strategies that best fit that position. Further, we are working within a broken healthcare system, a system that needs transformation not adjustment. We are ready for a higher, purpose - driven discussion and planning session on how to make this kind of transformation change.

Defined the need - what is the problem we are trying to solve when we talk about "access to care" for example.

hard to find the building

when I realized this whole thing was because the hospitals were required to do an assessment

felt information provided fell short

N/A

## Q9 – Other Comments:

Answered: 11

Skipped: 11

Will we get the results as participants?

Avoid numbers (i.e. teen birth rate by county; ten yr. trend). Use rates so data is not skewed. In 2017 Grant had a total of 3,457 women aged 15-19 while Chelan only had 1,322/ If you did rate per 1,000 the data would be more relatable

Grateful to be involved.

While I think in-person is best, I wonder if a distributed workshop could be beneficial for more reps for each county to attend

Nice job Paige & Craig!

Great job to presenters. Gathering city administrators to educate about "needs" what can they do to help improve community needs etc.

great job, well done, you should be proud of your work

Thank you for the information - some data not current and a lot has changed in the past 2 years. HYS data has a fairly high margin of error, as well as County Health Rankings

would have been nice to have each individual introduce themselves

N/A

Very well organized and good on time