NCACH Care Transformation Workgroup Weighted Decision Matrix

Weighted Decision Matrix
This form is intened to help prioritize multiple projects. The team involved in project selection decisions will work collaborativley to score projects against the listed criteria.
Selected Project: _____

Decision Factors / Criteria	Wt.	Choice 1	Choice 2	Choice 3	Choice 4	Choice 5
		1	2	3	4	5
Sustainability	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Risk Bearing Entities	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Collaboration	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Effectiveness	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Scalable / Replicable	4.5	0	0	0	0	0
Measurable Outcomes	4.5	0	0	0	0	0
Data	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Asset Gap Analysis	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
EB / Best Practice	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Equitability	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Impactability	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Consequences	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Feasibility	3.5	0	0	0	0	0
Alignment	3.0	0	0	0	0	0
High Costs	3.0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted Scores		**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**

Criteria	Definition
Sustainability	The project plan includes strategies for sustaining changes in systems,environments, and infrastructures.
Risk Bearing Entities	The project plan has the support of the risk bearing entity(ies) and partners.
Collaboration	The project plan builds on existing collaborations and priorities (e.g., community efforts, neighborhood efforts, multi-sector efforts).
Cost Effectiveness	The project is cost effective for the targeted population, community, or region.
Scalable / Replicable	Project strategies and activities are scalable to meet growing demands and can be replicated with minimal alterations.
Measurable Outcomes	The project plan includes frequently recommended health outcomes or determinant metrics.
Data	Valid and current regional data helps define the problem (e.g., severity, trends, volumes, specific populations, specific locations, costs).
Asset Gap Analysis	The project targets a regional "asset gap" identified in the community health asset inventory and/or other needs assessment surveys.
EB / Best Practice	The project aligns with multi-level, evidence-based and/or best practice public health strategies proven to be effective.
Equitability	The project is equitable to disparate populations, considering culture, language, literacy, health literacy, income, poverty level, and other factors.
Impactability	Impactability on targeted individuals or anticipated return-on-investment is high.
Consequences	The project plan monitors and reports on unexpected consequences, including unexpected benefits, unexpected drawbacks, and perverse results.
Feasibility	The project has a high likliehood of completion given available resources.
Alignment	The project aligns with WA State transformation priorities (e.g., prevention framework, public-private transformation action strategy, clinical-community linkage).
High Costs	The project targets health care problems that are likely to result in high resource utilization (e.g., high costs, high medical management requirements).

Form Revisions Warning:
The formula for weighted scores uses a Sumproduct formula and has conditional formatting applied. Please check that the formula and conditional formatting includes the correct cell ranges if you add or remove any rows or columns.

Instructions: Select and insert a score of **zero to the highest weight** for each criteria. The score will be multiplied by the weight to arrive at the total weighted score.
Keep the first column for **status quo** (i.e. no change) and score the options against the status quo.

Notes