## NCACH Workgroup Weighted Decision Matrix (6/28/15)

Decision Factors		Choice 1	Choice 2	Choice 3	Choice 4	5 Choice 5
Criteria	Wt.	1	2	3	4	5
Sustainability	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Risk Bearing Entities	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Collaboration	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Cost Effectiveness	5.0	0	0	0	0	0
Scalable / Replicable	4.5	0	0	0	0	0
Measurable Outcomes	4.5	0	0	0	0	0
Data	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Asset Gap Analysis	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
EB / Best Practice	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Equitability	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Impactability	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Consequences	4.0	0	0	0	0	0
Feasibility	3.5	0	0	0	0	0
Alignment	3.0	0	0	0	0	0
High Costs	3.0	0	0	0	0	0
Weighted Scores		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Weighted Decision Matrix This form is intened to help prioritize multiple projects. The team involved in project selection decisions will work collaborativley to score projects against the listed criteria. Selected Project: Criteria Definition The project plan includes strategies for sustaining changes in systems, environments, and Sustainability infrastructures. **Risk Bearing Entities** The project plan has the support of the risk bearing entity(ies) and partners. The project plan builds on existing collaborations and priorities (e.g., community efforts, Collaboration neighborhood efforts, multi-sector efforts). Cost Effectiveness The project is cost effective for the targeted population, community, or region. Project strategies and activities are scalable to meet growing demands and can be Scalable / Replicable replicated with minimal alterations. The project plan includes frequently recommended health outcomes or determinant Measurable Outcomes metrics. Valid and current regional data helps define the problem (e.g., severity, trends, volumes, Data specific populations, specific locations, costs). The project targets a regional "asset gap" identified in the community health asset Asset Gap Analysis inventory and/or other needs assessment surveys. The project aligns with multi-level, evidence-based and/or best practice public health EB / Best Practice strategies proven to be effective. The project is equitable to disparate populations, considering culture, language, literacy, Equitability health literacy, income, poverty level, and other factors. Impactability Impactability on targeted individuals or anticipated return-on-investment is high. The project plan monitors and reports on unexpected consequences, including Consequences unexpected benefits, unexpected drawbacks, and perverse results. Feasibility The project has a high likliehood of completion given available resources. The project aligns with WA State transformation priorities (e.g., prevention framework, public-Alignment private transformation action strategy, clinical-community linkage). The project targets health care problems that are likely to result in high resource High Costs utilization (e.g., high costs, high medical management requirements). Form Revisions Warning: The formula for weighted scores uses a Sumproduct formula and has conditional formatting applied. Please check that the formula and conditional formatting includes the correct cell ranges if you add or remove any rows or columns.

Instructions: Select and insert a score of zero to the highest weight for each criteria. The score will be multiplied by the weight to arrive at the total weighted score. Keep the first column for status quo (i.e. no change) and score the options against the status quo.

Designed by Victor Chan 2011 - www.launchexcel.com Licensed under Creative Commons 3.0 Decision Matrix by Launch Excel is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License.

Notes