

NCACH Governing Board MEETING NOTES

12:30 – 2:30PM April 5, 2016 Confluence Technology Center 285 Technology Center Way, Wenatchee

Attendance:

Board Members onsite: Gail Goodwin, Barry Kling, Bruce Buckles, Jesus Hernandez, Theresa Sullivan, Kevin Abel,

Doug Wilson, Patrick Bucknum, Senator Parlette, Peter Morgan Board Members via phone: Laurel Lee, Nancy Nash-Mendez Public Attendees onsite: see sign in sheets for details Public Attendees via phone: See sign in sheets for details

Agenda Item	Notes	Follow up Tasks
Introductions		
Additional Agenda Items? Brief Report on Chelan-Douglas	 Kevin asked to add CHNA update to agenda Bruce asked to add Legal Consultation for formation of legal entity Barry reported CDHD Board of Directors 	
Board of Health Action Formalizing Hosting Arrangements	accepted the resolution for hosting arrangements and approved the 2016 budget for NC ACH funds.	
Update on Executive Director Recruitment	Barry reported the job has been posted. So far there is one applicant and many inquiries about the position. Barry asked for volunteers to sit on the interview team. Bruce Buckles, Doug Wilson and Theresa Sullivan volunteered.	NCACH Executive Director Interview Team: Barry, Bruce, Doug, Theresa/Gail (one will participate as the Grant Co. rep)
	DOH is asking for assistance from NCACH to interview their applicant for the Pediatric Transformation Grant/Regional Practice Facilitator position in this region. Barry offered and Jesus and Peter are interested as well. Barry will follow up with DOH.	DOH Applicant: Barry, Jesus, Peter, Barry will be the contact for DOH.
Community Choice Backbone Proposal 1. Financial and Program Data 2. Next Steps	Deb Miller, Community Choice interim Executive Director presented an overview of the current programs at Community Choice.	 Peter, Theresa, Patrick, Barry and Kevin will meet as a workgroup

Waiver Update and Discussion of ACH Role in Possible Waiver Initiatives	There were a number of strategic questions regarding the value of the current programs. A work group volunteered to do a soft analysis to determine pros/cons of a merger/backbone organization with Community Choice and will present back at the May meeting. HCA is in the process of determining the ACH legal structure requirements for the 1115 Waiver. Next Steps: Work group will meet prior to the May meeting Board members will check with their sectors for input Work group will draft a survey to send to all stakeholders to get broad stakeholder input Chase Napier from HCA provided a brief update on the 1115 Waiver More discussions planned at the end of the week Anticipate and agreement will be reached by the end of April and once that agreement is been met, project selection would happen and projects to begin about 60 days after the agreement is reached. Waiver funds would be used for interventions chosen by each ACH from the proposed list. There is no defined process for accessing the funds at this time. We may be asked as an ACH for a realistic 2017 timeline for projects to begin. Discussions with HCA and Senate Ways and Means on how much \$\$ can be spent in different areas. Question: If/when approved
	what is required by ACH's?
	Discussion:
	Qualities/characteristics of the
	ACH should be a legal entity
	ACH SHOUIU DE A IEGAI ENLITY

prepared to be a conduit for \$\$ and establish a way to measure. O Question: What is the intent for using ACH if not as a conduit? Discussion: Ach would be a coordinating entity that provides a balanced decision making approach and brings more voice to the table. Pudate on BHO and Full integration NCWBH began on April 1, 2016 with a couple bumps in the road that are being worked out. Total funding was less than necessary to maintain contracts for all three counties (Chelan, Douglas, Grant) and there is an issue for Grant county access to crisis beds (which has not been an issue to date). Behavioral Health staff is keeping documentation throughout the process. The intent is to keep moving forward to full integration "when everyone is ready to go", and they are not currently looking at a specific date. The decision on timing of integration will be made by county commissioners of all the counties involved, not by the BHO or ACH boards. Okanogan is still open to joining in the process, they have a current contract with Spokane until June 2017. Skip feels the NCWBH/process needs to mature and demonstrate the ability to handle the integration. He feels that when full integration happens they will be ready and will have some capacity available as well. Do we know what full integration means? Discussion: Majority of the functions of the BHO will go away. South West Washington is using an administrative service organization as a model and chose not to "use" the ACH for the ACH for the stage of the ACH for the	1000		
Timelines couple bumps in the road that are being worked out. Total funding was less than necessary to maintain contracts for all three counties (Chelan, Douglas, Grant) and there is an issue for Grant county access to crisis beds (which has not been an issue to date). Behavioral Health staff is keeping documentation throughout the process. The intent is to keep moving forward to full integration "when everyone is ready to go", and they are not currently looking at a specific date. The decision on timing of integration will be made by county commissioners of all the counties involved, not by the BHO or ACH boards. Okanogan is still open to joining in the process, they have a current contract with Spokane until June 2017. Skip feels the NCWBH/process needs to mature and demonstrate the ability to handle the integration. He feels that when full integration. He feels that when full integration happens they will be ready and will have some capacity available as well. Do we know what full integration means? Discussion: Majority of the functions of the BHO will go away. South West Washington is using an administrative service organization as a model and chose not to "use" the ACH		and establish a way to measure. O Question: What is the intent for using ACH if not as a conduit? Discussion: Ach would be a coordinating entity that provides a balanced decision making approach and	
IOCADINO MOCTIONS	•	couple bumps in the road that are being worked out. Total funding was less than necessary to maintain contracts for all three counties (Chelan, Douglas, Grant) and there is an issue for Grant county access to crisis beds (which has not been an issue to date). Behavioral Health staff is keeping documentation throughout the process. • The intent is to keep moving forward to full integration "when everyone is ready to go", and they are not currently looking at a specific date. The decision on timing of integration will be made by county commissioners of all the counties involved, not by the BHO or ACH boards. • Okanogan is still open to joining in the process, they have a current contract with Spokane until June 2017. Skip feels the NCWBH/process needs to mature and demonstrate the ability to handle the integration. He feels that when full integration happens they will be ready and will have some capacity available as well. • Do we know what full integration means? Discussion: Majority of the functions of the BHO will go away. South West Washington is using an administrative service organization as a	

	 MCO's offered to report lessons learned from other ACH as we continue to move through this process. 	
 Obesity Prevention for Children Primary Care Transformation Collaborative 	Due to lack of time there was no report.	
Agenda Items for Next Meeting	 CHNA update Board Seat Terms update Holiday Meeting schedule 	